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SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Good evening, everyone. I'd ask everyone to please take their seats. I'd like to start by welcoming everyone to this hearing. This is our third hearing on the state Six-Year Transportation Improvement Plan. After -- I'm Secretary Sean Connaughton, also chairman of the Commonwealth Transportation Board. Before we start, I'd ask everyone, although we don't have a flag here, I always like to start our public meetings with the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: I knew I wore this tie for a reason this morning. I didn't know what reason, but again, I'm Sean Connaughton. I'm going to ask each one of my members up here, the Commonwealth Transportation Board members, as well as our staff to introduce themselves, if you'll like to start.

MS. DRAKE: Thelma Drake, Director of Department of Rail and Public Transportation.

MR. DICKENS: Dana Dickens, Commonwealth Transportation Board member.

MR. HEUER: Dennis Heuer, District Administrator for VDOT.

MR. WHIRLEY: Greg Whirley --

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: I got caught in traffic on the way down here.

MR. WHIRLEY: Greg Whirley, I'm the acting Commissioner.

MR. LANE: I'm Aubrey Lane. I'm the Hampton Roads District Commonwealth Transportation Board member.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Well, thank you very much. Again, thank you-all for being here. We always start out with the elected official from the host jurisdiction. Tonight, we're in Chesapeake, so I'd like to ask Mayor Alan Krasnoff, if you'd like to come up. Welcome.

MAYOR KRASNOFF: Good evening. It's good to have all of you here today. In fact, we welcome you-all to our wonderful city called Chesapeake. We appreciate you, and we thank you so much for everything you offer to our great Commonwealth, and we appreciate you very much. In fact, if there's anything we can do for you to serve you, if you need more water, Mr. Secretary, we'll be more than happy to do that.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: It's going to be a long list, isn't it?

MAYOR KRASNOFF: But if I may say, I
won't take much time because you have a long list probably, but at the outset, I want to welcome Secretary Connaughton,

Thelma Drake, Director of Department of Rail and Public Transportation, thank you so much with the meeting this afternoon with Kevin Page. We appreciate you so much, members of the Commonwealth Transportation Board, acting Commissioner Whirley, thank you so much, and it's good to see my good friend Dennis here. How are you, Mr. Heuer? And it's great to meet so many others. In fact, Rita Busher, it's great to have met you, too, thank you for all you're going to do this week for us.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Will you tell us
about this later?
MAYOR KRASNOFF: But since I last
appeared before this board, and recognizing these are extraordinarily difficult times for any organization at any level of government, we, in Chesapeake, have begun charting a new course. We believe and I believe that the old ways of building things and creating opportunities for our community may be comfortable, but they aren't going to be much help as we -- as we navigate an economic maze of unprecedented dimensions. Instead, we need to use this time to turn obstacles into opportunities. Candidly, I'm reasonably sure that whenever or wherever you hold a meeting in Virginia, you will immediately be challenged to approve a critically needed project that if it isn't funded, would mean the end of western civilization as we know it.

That's a funny, Sean.
SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: I'll hold it there.

MAYOR KRASNOFF: Unfortunately, perhaps as much as my city manager might like me to make that claim, that wouldn't be honest on my part. What is true is that we do look to you for much needed support for any number of projects, not the least of which is Dominion Boulevard, but we also realize that Chesapeake needs to take the initiative. One example underway as we speak is the new South Norfolk Jordan Bridge. The old bridge was closed in November 2008, but by January 2009, we have found a workable solution. That project cost Chesapeake taxpayers not one dime, and it took what would have been a very expensive replacement bridge off everyone's list of wants and needs. We did it by developing community support, and as necessary, twisting a few arms, but best of all, we got the job done in record time.

Time, of course, is money or the loss of it, which brings me to Chesapeake's most pressing project, Dominion Boulevard, which also is the most heavily travelled two-lane road bridge complex in Hampton Roads outside of the Midtown Tunnel. When a replacement drawbridge -- when a replacement for a drawbridge on a two-lane road was first considered, the cost was $\$ 100$ million. At least 20 years
later, if we start tomorrow, the budget for that project will be over $\$ 370$ million. To make the point of what's been lost, just imagine how many teachers could have been educating our children or how many police officers or sheriff's deputies could have been patrolling our streets and highways. Unfortunately, what's done is done, and we'll never be able to recapture our time or money. What we can do is change the course. As I have said at the outset, old approaches going to build new roads aren't going to build new roads. So what we, in Chesapeake, want is the opportunity, the leeway to seize the initiative, break the mold, and move ahead.

In 2008, design for this project was 30 percent complete. Today, it's 70 percent complete, and by the end of the year, design for the project will be done. Environmental concerns have been dealt with, and we have clearances we need to proceed. Between federal, state, and local funding, over $\$ 43$ million has already been committed to the Dominion Boulevard project, and we're so serious about the need that we are considering delay or cancellation of projects to move this one ahead.

What we also need to remember is that without your support for this project, there will never be a complete Norfolk-Raleigh connector, which is vital to commerce between both states, not to mention what would
happen if, God forbid, we ever need to use Dominion Boulevard as a hurricane evacuation route. But to move this forward, we need your support this year to allow us to begin right of way acquisition.

Naturally, there are those who might doubt our ability to get the job done. To them and you I say this: We believe in ourselves, and we only ask that you believe in us. We thank you for your time. We thank you for your service, and God bless you-all. Have a pleasant evening. Thank you so much for your service.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you very
much. We appreciate very much, again, you hosting us this evening.
I'd like to now have Delegate Glenn

Oder.

DELEGATE ODER: Thank you very much
members of the Commission, Mr. Secretary, Ms. Drake, thank you for hosting -- for allowing us to come over and speak today. I appreciate it. I won't take much of your time, but I did want to say a few things to you. First, I wanted to thank you for being here. Second, I wanted to say that Our Transportation Planning Organization in Hampton Roads has made great strides in the last two years, and I'm very, very pleased to be part of the Transportation Planning Organization to see how well we've done.

A couple years ago, we actually received a letter from the federal government saying that we had, I believe, 27 different violations or recommendations for improvements that they wanted us to make in our Transportation Planning Organization. I was actually told that we were the only organization in the nation that received that many recommendations for improvement.

The Transportation Planning Organization made great strides in setting up a reform committee that then began to address those 27 requirements. One of the requirements was to put legislators on the TPO. Never in my wildest dreams would I think that actually having legislators, if anything, would make it better, but for some reason, it seems to have worked very, very well in our Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization, and we actually are now working across the state with those other TPO's to add legislators.

As a legislator, I have great hope that by adding members of the legislature to the Transportation Planning Organizations that there will be a complete connection between the planning process and the funding that is required for those projects, and that there will actually be an opportunity for legislators and members of TPO's around the state to actually now coalesce about items of congestion and so forth that are important to the state and
beyond or just our region.
The second thing I wanted to mention to you is, as I look at the Hampton Roads area, and I look at our transportation projects, probably the number one thing, I would say absolutely the number one thing we need to work on in Hampton Roads is the prioritization of our projects. For many years, we've had six projects that we thought were the most important projects we wanted to fund in the Hampton Roads region. We haven't been able to decide which one of those is the most important project. I, along other legislators and citizens, have advocated that we need to expand that list to include a seventh project, and that would be to include the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel. I believe that we have successfully advocated that point now, and that rarely a discussion occurs regarding projects in Hampton Roads that doesn't include the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel.

Well, we need to go a step further and that step further is we actually need to prioritize the projects and say, If we could only build one road, what road would give us the biggest bang for our buck? We have two studies that are taking place right now. One of them is the Virginia Modeling and Simulation Center. We have the other one that the Transportation Planning Organization has undertaken. Those two studies are running on parallel
tracks. They will mirror each other, and they will complement each other when they come forward, and it's my hope that very soon, as we approach the end of summer and onto the fall, that those projects will be completed and we'll be able to prioritize our projects and really focus our attention as a region on that.

We have critically unfunded projects in
the region. As we prioritize these projects, that's great to say what's the most important. At some point it's going to say, How are we going to be able to fund those projects? The Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel, as I said, must be part of that consideration. A conversation does not take place in my community that we don't talk about expanding the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel, and how important that is to our region. I hope these studies demonstrate that.

And finally, one study $I$ have seen that's beginning to approach its completion, it's very obvious that if we do not improve $I-64$ on the Peninsula from Newport News towards Richmond, that everything on the Peninsula will go red; that while 64 will become so congested that it is immovable, then the local traffic will get driven into routes, and there's Route 60 and Jefferson Avenue and Mercury Boulevard and Route 17 and all of those roads will also go red in the diagram. We've got to improve our 64. I understand that the environmental studies have
been initiated for that, and I'm very, very grateful for that. The McDonald administration did that soon after they were sworn in. It was a great first step and $I$ hope to see that process continue.

Thank you for your hard work. I know none of this is easy, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak today.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you very
much. I appreciate you being here.
Delegate John Cosgrove.
DELEGATE ODER: He gave me his time. He
really wanted to mention the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel.
SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Delegate Paula

Miller.

DELEGATE MILLER: I'm all ears today, thanks.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Mayor Frank of Newport News.

MAYOR FRANK: Good evening. Thank you-all for being here. Thank you for the hard work that you do for all of us. I have a project in Newport News I want to talk about a bit later, but I really came, this is my last opportunity to speak to the Commonwealth Transportation Board, and I wanted to take a few minutes of your time to talk about the criticality of transportation
funding, and the needs here in Hampton Roads.
We have listed a number of projects that are critically important through the TPO process with lots of public input and so forth, but if every dollar for those projects were available today, it would be 15 years before the public could drive on any one of them. That's how long it takes to arrange the financing and the right of way acquisition and the design and the engineering and awarding contracts and doing the construction. So whether that can be done and whether there will be a day when that kind of funding and that enormous amount of money is ever available, you-all won't be around to define my want, but there is a serious problem here in Hampton Roads about transportation.

We live on two sides of the water, the Southside and the Peninsula, and the waters divide us. Congestion is increasing with every day. Mobility is a critical piece of prosperity. Jobs depend on it. Businesses locating here, staying here, those are issues that are affected by transportation or the lack of an effective, efficient transportation system. We are at risk. The military is already under pressure to move a carrier, perhaps more, to Florida. Businesses that depend on goods and services and people moving back and forth across the water who can't do it in a timely, efficient way are at risk of continuing their operations here in Hampton Roads.

Competing ports tell shippers that transportation in Hampton Roads is difficult, if not impossible, and they should ship their goods through other ports along the East Coast. Competition is severe.

If you look at a huge employer like the shipyard, and five percent of the population that works there shows up late in the morning because of congestion, that informs and impacts on the work of the other 95 percent of the workers. Productivity, efficiency, effectiveness suffer. For those businesses who can make decisions about whether they stay here or whether they move, transportation may be, in large measure, how they answer that question for themselves.

The connectivity of the military here in Hampton Roads is incredible with jointness and all the new joint operations that the military is committed to and required to do involves more and more integration, more and more communication and transportation of people between posts here in the region and between here and the Washington area.

If a business has a warehouse on one side of the water and a store on the other, like a furniture operator or something, and they could formerly take one truck with one union driver and move it back and forth four or five times a day, they'd do that. If it takes five
trucks with five union drivers because they can't get back and forth, that they have to have more trucks to get the products across the water, they become less effective, less cost efficient, and less profitable, and so they make new decisions.

If people live on one side of the water and work on the other, and if they can't get to work on time, they make decisions about where they're going to live, where they're going stay in the region.

Hampton Roads provides a huge part of Virginia's total economic engine, and without mobility, without convenience and access, the days ahead are becoming more and more difficult in terms of the mobility. There aren't pots of money, and $I$ know that and you know that. I don't tell you anything you don't know, but there are some inequities, and they are that Northern Virginia is getting more than a lion's share of the small pot that's available, and I suggest to you that issue needs to be revisited; that Hampton Roads is a large generator of Virginia's economic wealth, and it generates taxes and jobs, and we've been creating jobs in the region for decades now that really do need transportation systems that work and that are effective.

And so as you look at how you move forward and deal with the Six-Year Plan and what we're
really here to talk about tonight, it seems to me that you really do need to revisit the allocation process. Fundamental fairness, $I$ think, should give Hampton Roads a larger piece of the pie than we're currently getting. Now, I know you will tell me, Well, that's this year; it's next year, but in the out years, things look better and they start shifting and Hampton Roads gets a little bit bigger slice of the pie. And that's what the plan is, but the truth is, the only money we got to talk about is what we got now and today, and what happens in two years or three years or four years in the world we now live in, I think, is terribly unpredictable.

So I ask you to take a hard look at
whatever resources you have and determine what is fundamentally fair for Hampton Roads to move forward, to continue to generate the economic benefits that it brings to not just keeping the region together and having the region succeed and prosper, but what impact it makes on the Commonwealth because to the extent that you value that impact to the Commonwealth, you will understand why improving the transportation system we have here is so critically important. I could go on and on, but I know I don't need to. I know you-all understand the issues.

I want to just, before $I$ sit down, talk about the Newport News project that I feel some
responsibility to mention to you and that's Middle Ground Boulevard. It's one of the few projects that has funding, and I want to be sure it keeps its funding, and I appreciate Dennis's hard work in working with us to make that happen as well as members of the CTB. That project is critically important to us.

We were able or successful in getting Canon to make a $\$ 700$ million investment in Newport News for a new factory that employs 1,300 new people. This road gives better access to the factory site, better access to our City Center at Oyster Point. It takes a lot of traffic off J. Clyde Morris Boulevard and Oyster Point Road, which are two main crossways between Jefferson Avenue and Warwick Boulevard, our main north-south thruways, and it is critically needed in terms of traffic management in our city.

It's been on our books well over 20 years, and we finally got the commitment to have it go forward, and I just ask, beg, plead or you pick your word, that you maintain that. The city really does need it. It is important to our economic well-being. It will support hospitals and the Mariner's Museum, Christopher Newport University, all of which are in that center area of the city which this will benefit from.

So before I sit down, one more time, I'd
just like to say that Hampton Roads is a dynamic, growing, successful region in this Commonwealth. It has some really wonderful leadership, people that care. People that are concerned. People that are committed. Transportation is the albatross. It's the one thing that can hold us back, and if we can't find ways to solve our transportation problems, $I$ fear for the future and future success and ability of the region to continue to grow and prosper and be an important economic part of the Commonwealth. So I know you have enormous challenges every day in every way, and I'll just add this one to your list. Thank you very much.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Mr. Mayor, thank you. Mayor Frank, is today your last full day? Is today -MAYOR FRANK: June 30 I'm closing out. You want to know how long, ask my wife.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Mr. Mayor, thank
you very much for being here. Thank you very much for all you've done for the Commonwealth, and $I$ just want to say, it's been great working with you, both in my prior life and this life. I appreciate it.

Next is Ken Chandler, who is City
Manager for Portsmouth representing Portsmouth.
MR. CHANDLER: Good afternoon. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, of course, members of the Commonwealth Transportation Board. We sincerely appreciate your time
being in Hampton Roads, and I'll only take up a minute of your time. First of all, we sincerely appreciate everything you do for us. It is our Commonwealth, the only Commonwealth we have, and thank God for the Commonwealth.

However, as we talk about Portsmouth and we recognize our population, we realize that we are a central core part of Hampton Roads, and we really aspire to talk about things like tolls that will come up in those conversations that we hope for additional transparency on those. In addition to that, urban allocation funding, as we still work through trying to handle some of the transportation issues that go through our area, and even as we talk about high speed rail, recognizing the advent of the new TCC campus that's open in Portsmouth for Tidewater Community College, with a new population of 11,000 that adds to our population of 100,000 , which also puts the burden of transportation on us, to really figure out a solution that is comprehensive and also inclusive. Thank you.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you very much.

Randy Wheeler, who's representing the
City of Poquoson.
MR. WHEELER: Thank you, sir. Thank you
for being here. Thank you to those I know well,
Mr. Dickens, Mr. Lane, I appreciate your help. I'm here to
speak only on one project. It is the Wythe Creek Road Expansion project in the City of Poquoson. It actually has two parts. The second part is the City of Hampton. We look forward in the coming months with working with VDOT, working with the TPO and our friends in Hampton to find a way to get this project moving, possibly through re-scoping the project termini. There is sufficient money contained in the plan to move forward, we believe, with the lion's share, if not all of the first part of that project. We say thank you for that, and we hope it will continue to stay here.

I would just add one closing note, as Mayor Krasnoff said, the world will not come to end if any of these projects are not funded, but to put this one in perspective for the City of Poquoson, in severe weather in our little city on the coast, we have one way in and one way out of the city because this second roadway, this causeway and bridge going to the City of Hampton goes underwater and is unusable. So anything that you can do will have the added benefit of providing us and our city a relief valve if, for whatever reason, Victory Boulevard is closed down or incapacitated during an evacuation or severe weather, what have you. We thank you for all you've done and look forward to continue working with you.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you very
much. Sherry Earley representing City of Suffolk.

MS. EARLEY: Thank you and good evening. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, members of the board. First of all, I'd like to pass along our mayor's regrets that she couldn't join you here tonight. As Mr. Dickens probably knows, tonight's council meeting in the City of Suffolk, so she is at the city council meeting tonight and unable to attend.

However, on behalf of the City of Suffolk, I would like to express our thanks to the board and to the Virginia Department of Transportation for their assistance to our staff throughout the years and this year, as we've made some very challenging decisions regarding our urban program. We have had an opportunity to review the proposed Six-Year Plan, and sincerely request your further consideration of funding for the following projects. I do have a short list.

The Nansemond Parkway project in the City of Suffolk has been recognized for a number of years as our number one priority project. Due to funding constraints, that project has been phased into two phases. Phase 1, which is the intersection improvements of Nansemond and Shoulders Hill Road, the engineering and right of way has been completed on that project. We are currently
working to get private utilities relocated and are heading, hopefully, into construction in the fall with that project; however, there is a $\$ 2$ million funding gap that is currently shown in the Six-Year Plan on that project because of escalating construction costs over the, gosh, probably been on the books for 12 years now, and continues to escalate each year. So we would certainly ask your consideration in assisting us in trying to recognize some options for that funding gap.

Along with that is our Phase 2 project which is tied to the Chesapeake larger -- or Portsmouth project. This would get us from the intersection to the city line at City of Chesapeake. That project has been on the books for a while. In the last Six-Year Plan, prior to the revisions of last year, was fully funded, but funding was removed from the project last year. We would ask that that funding, in some way, be replaced so that project can move forward on schedule with the City of Chesapeake's projects as well.

The rest of our list that I've been asked to put before you tonight would include replacing the funding of the railroad crossing projects that were in the Six-Year Plan previously. This is, at one time, known as the Finney Avenue flyover. This was funds that were placed in the Six-Year Plan to assist the City of Suffolk in making
railroad improvements to address the increased train traffic that we'll be seeing in our city and already are seeing in the City of Suffolk.

We would also ask for any assistance with funding for the Route 58 widening project. You're probably familiar with the fact that we did apply for TIGER grant funding for that project this year and we will be reapplying again for a second round, but again, any funding assistance for Route 58, that would be the widening from the Suffolk bypass for a three-mile corridor leading up to a couple distribution development projects in Suffolk, which, we feel, is a very vital link to the Port of Hampton Roads. Route 58 is also a major evacuation route for the Hampton Roads region.

And finally, last but not least, I have to mention the Kings Highway Bridge Replacement/Realignment project. Again, any consideration that you could give these requests is very much appreciated, and I appreciate your time. Thank you.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you very much.

Carita Richardson, Town of Windsor.
MS. RICHARDSON: Hi. I bring you
greetings from the Town of Windsor. I am the mayor-elect, so this is my first time speaking to you, but you are all
familiar with Mayor Crocker who comes every year to speak to you. I know yours is a very difficult job with the lack of funding now, and we do appreciate you taking this time to listen to our concerns and needs, which are many throughout the area. I'll be very brief.

The Town of Windsor definitely supports the construction of the Route 460 bypass. We feel that it is of utmost importance to the entire Hampton Roads area as well as for our town. Our town is experiencing an ever increasing amount of truck traffic that comes from the ports as well automobile traffic. Most of our town has no center left lane turns, and it's becoming very, very dangerous there.

And the other thing for the region is that if we are hit by a major hurricane, we're going to have problems with Route 460 as an evacuation route because right outside of Windsor in Zuni, we have major flooding when we have heavy rains, and it could be monumental loss of life. So that is the thing that $I$ hope that you will consider when you're looking at the funds that you have available, and we do appreciate everything that you do. Thank you very much. SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you very much and congratulations.

MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you.
SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: So Captain

Johnson, Commanding Officer of the Navy, did you want to say anything?

CAPTAIN JOHNSON: No, sir, I have no public comments.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: I appreciate you
being here. Is there anyone else representing any of the local jurisdictions? Okay. We'll hit the citizens. Oh, I'm sorry, Dwight Farmer is representing the Hampton Roads District.

MR. FARMER: I'm not sure I had a lot of anything to do with it. I have presents for you. I'm not going to read all of this.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: It's not a
subpoena, is it?
MR. FARMER: No, Secretary Connaughton. No, they are letters from staff to both VDOT and to the CTB. To keep this as short as possible, but keep it up. I know you're probably not used to hearing complimentary things from the HRTPO staff, but Sean, we are -- we do, in both of those letters, have some great big thank you's for some fully funded projects. I'll start with VDOT for fully funding the Gilmerton Bridge, the Intermodal Connector, Hampton Boulevard, Middle Ground as Mayor Frank just mentioned, the Denbigh Boulevard Bridge Replacement, Military Highway widening, the Commander Shepard and

I-64/Norview. So we were pleasantly pleased to see fully funding for those projects.

Additionally, we're grateful to see you helping out Mayor Krasnoff with \$11-and-a-half million toward right of way purchase for Dominion Boulevard, and hopefully, the phone calls will continue to keep coming to you and $I$ and get more money.

> SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: At my home
phone, no less.
MR. FARMER: I really didn't want to
ask. And also, $\$ 8$ million in two fiscal years for the 64 and 264 interchange, and 166 million for the Midtown Tunnel, which was a project we've been needing a long, long time. So again, we thank you for that.
While -- in the letter, it will say,

While we see in 2011 the district received one percent more funding, which we were surprised to see compared to FY10, I think we still have to say that $\$ 97$-and-a-half million a year given the total list that we've got is still on the inadequate side. We understand it's a revenue problem of huge proportions, but we also, I want to bring up that principal that, at least for the last couple few years of funding, only funding projects currently underway, we're still concerned that that does not allow some of our critically needed projects to get kicked off, but we
certainly understand how you come to that principle. We'd still like you to reconsider that, if you will, to save some time in the out years. Hopefully, funding will come to bear here.

And finally, on the VDOT side, I still want to bring up critically needed regional projects that still need significant funding. Delegate Oder's Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel or as I would call it, the Hampton Roads Crossing; I-64 widening, certainly, I'd like to echo Delegate Oder's comments on widening on the Peninsula, and I also would like to continue to reiterate I-64 widening on the Southside at what is often known as the High-Rise Bridge all the way back to Bowers Hill. The 64/264 interchange carries hundreds of thousands of people a day. It certainly, as you know, needs some funding. Route 58 widening in Suffolk needs some help. Again, we want to continue to stress Dominion Boulevard, Route 460, Southeastern Parkway, if we can get that environmental document completed, and the Lesner Bridge.
I'd like to also turn your attention to the projects on the DRPT side of the plate, and we have some great thank you's there from the great work that Ms. Drake is doing and Kevin Page is doing, and that's the Richmond-Hampton Roads Passenger Rail Service, NIT marshalling yard, and the Craney Island connector, which
we're funding with rail enhancement funds, and, of course, the Virginia Beach Transit Extension study. We really do thank you for funding those great projects.

However, the TPO staff urges the
Commonwealth of Virginia to secure the necessary funds required to operate. I talked with Kevin just before this meeting to operate this new passenger rail service between Hampton Roads and Petersburg and Richmond. We think it's just exciting news for us, and as you know, and I think as Thelma, you've indicated, we have overwhelming support here and as shown at the downtown Norfolk public hearing back in the fall.

We appreciate your providing us the opportunity to give you the comments that we're giving today, and also, for scheduling -- I think we're rescheduled for an October 20th CTB meeting, and we look forward to that, but Mr. Secretary, what I would like to suggest is that you really give some strong consideration to possibly affording TPO's, general assembly members who are on the TPO's and elected officials an opportunity to have a workshop setting where we could, and maybe around that October time frame, where maybe there's some time set aside, an hour or two, to sit down and listen to the challenges, talk about priorities.

> We are, as Mayor Frank indicated and

Delegate Oder has indicated, we are about to release, probably in July, June and July, release our prioritization process. So by the fall, we will have run some numbers that we could put in front of our local elected officials, and we'll be well on our way for prioritization. So a workshop setting would be a wonderful event to possibly work that out, and I think the fall would be a perfect time. So with that, again, thank you very much for giving us this opportunity.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you, Mr. Farmer.

Next is Mr. Ellis James.
MR. JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. My name is Ellis W. James. I'm a lifelong resident of Hampton Roads and specifically of Norfolk. I'd like to fully endorse the comments that were made by Mayor Frank as well as the comments you have just heard. There are many things to be thankful for in terms of some of the projects that have been put forth. However, I'm going to bite the bullet, and I want to talk to you about what we need to do. If you keep in mind what those two speakers said; that is, it should be imminently clear that the way we survive in the eastern part of the Commonwealth is if we get a job done on transportation with a capital $T$. If we don't do transportation, we're going to fall by the wayside in many
respects.

Now, most of you who know me, I am not a negative doomsdayer [sic]. I wouldn't keep coming to meetings all the time if I believed that, but somebody has got to say that in this state with the tax rate 17 cents below the federal average, somebody has got to begin to pay attention to the least regressive tax in the Commonwealth and how we get the funding done. I have spent years listening to these excellent officials talk about our problems and our needs. Nobody wants to effectively go after the core issue. Needs and money. It's simple. Either we have the courage to step up to the plate and provide the funding or we're going to fall on our face and that's ludicrous.

This Commonwealth is one of the best states in the United States if not the best. We have the capacity, the intelligence. We have excellent people. Look at Dana Dickens and all the work that he has done.

MR. DICKENS: Mr. Ellis, I'll pay you later.

MR. JAMES: It's okay. I chose one person as an example because he's right there where $I$ can see him. SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: What about the rest of us?

MR. JAMES: The rest of you are doing a good job, but my point simply is, now's the time to take that additional step. If we don't have the courage to speak truth to power and do what we have to do to provide the funding for these many projects -- and many of these projects are worthy projects. I wouldn't knock down a single one of the needs that have been presented to you this evening, but the fact of the matter is, they'll go up in smoke, and there's a very real possibility that this region -- we always talk about region and regionalism, but if we don't get serious about providing the funding, then what's this for? I mean, I enjoy seeing you nice people from time to time, but I'd like to be able to come and thank you and thank you again for the completion and successful conclusion to many of the needed projects.

> It is the third rail of politics in

Hampton Roads and in the Commonwealth, and I understand that, but the fact of the matter is that many people that $I$ talk to -- and I do get around. I'm retired now. I get to go and do what I want to do, and I promise you, there are people that I never thought would say, Hm, I don't know, Ellis, taxation? And believe me, I'm not unmindful of the needs of our seniors, the poor communities where there is very limited funding, and working families who are barely hanging on by their fingernails. I'm well aware of that,
but with all due respect, rather than to put a big blinking neon sign at the North Carolina border sort of saying to me, personally, Gee, we don't really want you to come here unless, I can't see that we succeed in making this Commonwealth successful the way we believe and dream of it being by doing that, when we could go to what I referred to earlier as the least regressive tax and to provide the funding.

I hope that each of you will keep that in mind as you think about these projects. I think it's a very important part of this plan because without it, these plans won't come to fruition. Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you, Mr. James. Thank you for being here.

Gary Kelly.
MR. KELLY: This is my first time
reading this. This is my first time being able to attend a situation like this, and $I$ mainly came all the way from James City County, York County, and Newport News to get a learning experience. The only problem is, I also have a class tonight, and $I$ am going to be late, so I will be leaving as soon as I finish. I have to go back to Oyster Point, and as stated by Mayor Frank and several others how our roads are congested, so narrow.

I remember reading in 1978, when $I$ was
attending Hampton Institute at the time, now known as Hampton University, that Interstate 64 was going to be widened to three lanes all the way to interstate 95. About 1980 they started. 22 years later, they completed to where they've stopped. So it is my interpretation, and I hope I'm wrong in this, that to go that 20 -odd years, averaging a mile a year, to go 60-odd miles, I'll be long dead by that time. I don't know if anybody in this room is going to be around to see it finished. So I don't mind seeing it done.

I kind of miss the trees that used to be in the medians because seeing that greenery was not bad. When they slaughtered all the shrubbery on 64 in the median between Exit 247 and the bridge that goes towards Gate 13 of the Naval Weapons Station, I thought that was part of widening. Turned out it wasn't, but anyway, I just thought it was, and it's a shame they stopped.

But browsing through this, I got a different education also because of what the potential plans that's supposed to be working. I just hope, when they say "working draft", that it's gone beyond work and that certain things are being implemented because it would be nice if 64 was eight lanes, even though this says eight lanes all the way up to Exit 243. That's a start. No problem. It's a start. The only problem I have is at choke points when the traffic drops to ten miles per hour in a 65 mile zone,
especially when you're in a hurry, and a lot of times, I'm not necessarily in a hurry, but I don't have enough money to spend on gasoline to sit and idle. I'm not being paid. That's coming out of my pocket.

Now, as for tolls, I don't like tolls, but I will accept tolls if it was convenience, and I'll say convenience would be if we had to get on the interstate at all entrances only, pay to get on, a dollar, maybe two dollars, every vehicle, regardless; don't have to pay anything to get off the interstate. It would make you want to decide if you really want to get on, and you can make that dollar stretch. For me, I'm getting on -- well, I'm going to call VDOT first, 1-800-367 -- to find out if the High-Rise Bridge is blocked. If not, then I'm going to have to take another way to get Monitor-Merrimac because I know, this time of day, it's futile to go to the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel, but the Monitor-Merrimac was blocked -- no, excuse me, 664 was congested because everyone wanted to look at this accident at Dock Landing Road by the time $I$ got there. I hope it's cleared out now, but as I passed it, looking at the return route, Lord, you know, I don't think it would be to my advantage take 17 all the way around to the JRB because I know it gets congested in the Suffolk parts.

When I used to work in Chesapeake, the
shortest route to get to Newport News took me through 64 and 664. Sometimes 664 would be backed up to Exit 9. Luckily, I'd be able to get off and take 17, which almost everybody else had the some idea, and that was backed up.

But anyway, my desire would be to see the roads that need to be done, done. Those of us that live and drive in the area know which roads, and it's a shame that the lottery couldn't have separate games designated to pay for certain roads, but $I$ know that would have long happened. Maybe some alternative, but basically, that's all I've got to say. If you have any questions, go ahead and ask, if not, well, my address and e-mail is all on the card, and I just wish you had pictures of certain areas, but if there was a way to address it, I'll try to look it up and see certain things. That's all.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Mr. Kelly, thank
you very much. Good luck in school.
Cecil Goodwin.
MR. GOODWIN: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to come before you. I came expecting a different type of environment in the fact that it was public, thinking there was going to be some workshops where we kind of brainstorm different projects to be looking at. So that was a misconception on my part.

> I do get concerned in what I really
would like to ask the board is to find out -- I'm concerned. I'm a native of the area, and kind of concerned, looking at all the projects we hear funding going here, projects going here, and projects going there, and I got to looking at a project that was done last year where there was $\$ 3$ million for road improvements between the Downtown Tunnel and Bowers Hill, and I got to looking at the project, and I travel that area, and I'm saying, you know, money's tight, but are we doing the prudent thing with the money that you're spending? Because what I saw, the only thing I seen happen for improvements on that $\$ 3$ million project was the fact that they went through and took down guardrails that was existing and put up new ones.

To me, we were not using our money prudently in the fact that we were turning around, and when I talked to the VDOT guys, said, Well, that was money from a different funding source. Just because we're in the Navy, you don't spend it or you don't get it next year. I think we are at the time we need to spend our money wisely and look at what gives the most bang for the buck.

But again, like I said, the workshop that I came to tonight was thinking it was going to be public input, looking at different various projects, identifying ones there. What I would come to you and ask, I'd like to get involved, learn more how your organization
works, how the funding is done.
Looking at funding, being a facility
engineer, I'm looking at funding and the fact that you come together with a long range plan, and if you're saying we fund this project this year, well, if that project gets funded, then where does it go next year? Is there a long range plan when you're looking six years there so that as you're coming through and you're funding your projects, you have to take it in step. You say, Phase 1 is we take and get this mile-and-a-half done knowing that next year we're going to complete that stage versus funding it there and then it just stops. So looking at when you're funding things, is there a rational plan in place to say, All right. We're going to fund this project here, because like I said, if you fund the project one year, and the second or third phase of that project does not carry through to get that going from A to B, but you stop somewhere in-between because only half of the project got funded, that then concerns me because we're not getting the most bang for the buck.

So again, like $I$ said, $I$ was expecting a
different forum. What I would like to do, and I'll stick around, I'd like to get involved and learn a little more about how you-all are operating as far as funding projects, what you look at, and I heard him talking about prioritization of a -- and the fact that I used to work for

TRADOT (phonetic) Engineer Command, and what we did was actually score projects before the people come in, and it takes some of the, what you might say, Well, what can I do? Can I do this one or that one? And you score them. You actually end up ranking them, and people vote on it so that you get a ranking order that comes back, not just something haphazardly, well, six people agree at this time.

So that's where I'd like to come from, and I'd ask to be able to get together with somebody and learn a little more about your organization and see if I could be a contributing member and try to make sure we do the wise thing with the money that we get because money is tight. Thank you.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: I'll just point out this gentleman right here. He's our VDOT -- well, he's kind of putting his head down. He's our VDOT planner, and also, Mr. Farmer back here and his organization does the regional planning. So these two gentlemen right here can actually answer all your questions as to state and federal and region.

MR. GOODWIN: I thank you for your time.
SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: So that's all we
have signed up. Does anyone else want to make a statement that hadn't signed up? Come on up.

MR. RICKARDS: Mr. Secretary, I'm Mark

Rickards, resident of James City County, Executive Director of the Williamsburg Area Transit Authority and member of the TPO. I apologize for being late, but I lost 30 minutes on 664. It was an incident, not congestion, but I do apologize for that. I would have spoken a little bit earlier.

I just want to thank you for your support of public transportation, especially in support of the Williamsburg area. It's very important. We have 3 million riders in our little system, our Williamsburg Transit Authority, James City County, York County, Colonial Williamsburg and the City of Williamsburg. That is a lot, if you think about it. The City of Williamsburg itself, population is about 13,000. 3 million riders for a city that size. Of course, we have the College of William and Mary. We have a couple major tourist attractions, Busch Gardens, which had a fabulous weekend, and Colonial Williamsburg, which is world-renowned. We have a lot of international workers, a lot of international visitors, and they are used to taking public transportation, and they find that they have a good system here. So we appreciate your support.

In the Six-Year Plan, I recommend that you approve the draft. We have some projects in there. We didn't get everything we wanted, but with DRPT's work and support, we have seed money for our facility, and we're
looking forward to working with them in the future. I appreciate your support, and to let you know how important public transportation is to all of Hampton Roads, we're going to be there for the long ride. Thank you.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Okay. Thank you
very much.
Anyone else? Okay. Well, listen, at this point, we're going to close out the public hearing. Does anybody up here, any CTB members have anything to say? MR. LANE: First of all, I thank everybody for their comments. I'm a native of Hampton Roads, so I share a lot of the frustration, but also the concerns. I'd like to recognize Secretary Connaughton and Director Thelma Drake. They have brought a new inclusive and innovative approach to our transportation issues. I know, Mayor Frank, you spoke about some things going on in Hampton Roads, and a lot of the plans we're talking about today, they were already in process when the new administration came in, but they have demonstrated that they are willing to get involved in our Hampton Roads issues. We've mentioned some of the things tonight. Mayor Krasnoff mentioned about putting funding back on Dominion Boulevard for right of way acquisition, and we talked about Middle Ground Boulevard, which is fully funded, and even with some of the pickups, we've been able
to do that. That was their involvement, and of course, it goes without saying, you have a shot of getting rail service between here and Richmond. That was not on the books before, so they found that. So I just wanted to recognize that while $I$ understand some of the concerns the area has in regards to other areas, we do have a voice now or someone that's listening and understands our area. I want to let the people of Hampton Roads know that.

But we also understand, notwithstanding
all their leadership and efforts, we have a significant revenue shortfall. I think Dwight gave a presentation the other day that 145 projects, really, are in the works in Hampton Roads and \$19.2 billion, and the projections we show going out, last thing that came -- Rita, I know you shared with us over the next 30 years about $\$ 2$ billion over the next 30 years. So there is a significant difference.

So there is a recognition, I understand, but this board is charged with allocation of resources, and so with that, I also would like to applaud the Hampton Roads Planning Transportation Organization for tackling the priority process, because that is going to be key, not only in getting funding in the future with our limited resources, but also making sure, Dwight, as you pointed out, put money on projects we actually can get built.

So I, just with that, would like to let
you know we hear you, and $I$ think we have a team now that understands what's happening here in Hampton Roads. So thank you, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you,
Mr. Lane.
Anyone else?
MR. DICKENS: If I may, just a couple of
quick comments. I'd like to echo what my colleague, Mr. Lane, has said. We do have a working relationship with the Secretary and the Director. They have very clearly shown an interest in the $T P O$, which represents Hampton Roads, together with the Commonwealth Transportation Board, and it certainly bodes well for us.

And I'd also like to take a second to thank Mayor Frank for his service, long service. He's been a great advocate for regional cooperation for regional transportation issues. He's been a real warrior for the region. I personally thank you for all that you've done, sir, and wish you all the -- the -- all the rewards of your labors.

I'd also like to thank Dwight Farmer and all the staff of the PDC. You-all do a great job for the region. You are a lot of help to me, as a board member, and I appreciate that. And I'd like to thank everybody who has been here. Certainly, Ellis, for your kind comments. I
will pay you later, but it's been a great opportunity to listen to what you-all have to say.

And one final comment, we are not the funders of transportation. We allocate what funds are provided for us, so some of your comments would be well used with some of our funding folks. So thank you very much, and we appreciate you being here.

SECRETARY CONNAUGHTON: Thank you.
Before we close out, $I$ just want to recognize one other person, Dave Tyeryar, who's Deputy Secretary, is here as well, and with that, we will have one more hearing, public hearing, tomorrow. That actually is out in southwest Virginia near Dublin, and then the board will be meeting on June 16 th to adopt the Six-Year Plan. We appreciate everyone being here and appreciate everyone's comments, and this public hearing is adjourned.
(Whereupon, the public hearing concluded at 7:00 p.m.)
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| ```25:12 might [3] - 5:5, 7:5, 37:3 mile [4] - 22:10, 32:7, 32:25, 36:10 mile-and-a-half [1] - 36:10 miles [2] - 32:7, 32:25 military [3] - 12:21, 13:14, 13:16 Military [1] - 24:25 Miller [1] - 11:14 MILLER [1] - 11:15 million [13] - 5:25, 6:2, 6:18, 16:8, 21:3, 25:4, 25:11, 25:12, 25:18, 35:5, 35:11, 38:9, 38:13 mind [3] - 28:21, 31:10, 32:9 minute [1] - 18:1 minutes [2] - 11:24, 38:3 mirror [1] - 10:1 misconception [1] - 34:24 miss [1] - 32:10 Mobility [1] - 12:16 mobility [2] - 14:11, 14:13 Modeling [1] - 9:23 mold [1] - 6:12 money [17] - 5:19, 6:7, 12:11, 14:14, 15:9, 19:7, 25:7, 29:11, 33:2, 35:9, 35:14, 35:16, 35:19, \(37: 12,38: 25\), 40:23 money's [1] - 35:8 Monitor [2] - 33:15, 33:17 Monitor-Merrimac [2] - 33:15, 33:17 months [1] - 19:4 monumental [1] - 23:18 morning [2] - 2:14, 13:7 Morris [1] - 16:12 most [8] - 5:20, 5:21, 9:8, 9:10,``` | ```10:9, 29:2, 35:20, 36:19 Most [1] - 23:11 move [10] - 6:12, 6:21, 7:2, 12:21, 13:11, 13:24, 14:24, 15:15, 19:8, 21:18 moving [2] - 12:23, 19:6 MR [20] - 2:21, 2:23, 2:25, 3:3, 3:5, 17:23, 18:23, 24:10, 24:15, 25:10, 28:13, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 31:16, 34:19, 37:21, 37:25, 39:10, 41:7 MS [4] - 2:19, 20:3, 22:23, 23:24 Museum [1] - 16:22 must [1] - 10:11 name [1] - 28:14 Nansemond [2] - 20:19, 20:23 narrow [1] - 31:24 nation [1] - 8:6 native [2] - 35:2, 39:11 Naturally [1] - 7:5 Naval [1] - 32:14 navigate [1] - 4:19 Navy [2] - 24:1, 35:17 near [1] - 42:13 necessarily [1] - 33:2 necessary [2] - 5:16, 27:5 need [19] - 3:19, 4:20, 6:17, 6:20, 6:22, 7:1, 7:3, 9:5, 9:11, 9:18, 9:19, 14:22, 15:2, 15:23, 16:20, 26:7, 28:20, 34:6, 35:19 needed [6] - 4:24, 5:7, 16:15, 25:25, 26:6, 30:15 needing [1] - 25:13 Needs [1] - 29:11 needs [10] - 5:9, 5:15, 12:1, 14:18, 23:4, 26:15, 26:16,``` | ```29:10, 30:7, 30:23 negative [1] - 29:3 neon [1] - 31:2 Never [1] - 8:11 never [3] - 6:7, 6:23, 30:21 new [14] - 4:16, 5:10, 6:9, 6:10, 13:15, 14:4, 16:9, 18:14, 18:15, 27:7, 35:13, 39:14, 39:18 Newport [8] - 10:19, 11:18, 11:21, 15:25, 16:8, 16:22, 31:19, 34:1 news [1] - 27:9 News [7] - 10:19, 11:18, 11:21, 15:25, 16:8, 31:19, 34:1 next [6] - 15:5, 35:18, 36:6, 36:10, 40:15, 40:16 Next [2] - 17:21, 28:12 nice [2] - 30:12, 32:21 NIT [1] - 26:24 Nobody [1] - 29:10 none [1] - 11:6 Norfolk [4] - 5:11, 6:24, 27:11, 28:15 Norfolk-Raleigh [1] - 6:24 north [1] - 16:14 North [1] - 31:2 north-south [1] - 16:14 Northern [1] - 14:16 note [1] - 19:11 notwithstanding - 40:9 November [1] - 5:12 now's [1] - 30:2 number [6] - 5:7, 9:4, 9:5, 12:2, 20:20, 20:21 numbers [1] - 28:3 obstacles [1] - 4:21 obvious [1] - 10:18 occurs [1] - 9:15 October [2] - 27:16, 27:22``` | ```Oder [2] - 7:15, 28:1 ODER [2] - 7:16, 11:11 Oder's [2] - 26:7, 26:10 offer [1] - 3:17 Officer [1] - 24:1 officers [1] - 6:4 official [1] - 3:10 officials [3] - 27:20, 28:4, 29:9 often [1] - 26:12 old [3] - 4:16, 5:11, 6:8 one [35] - 2:16, 5:13, 6:21, 9:4, 9:5, 9:9, 9:20, 9:24, 10:16, 12:6, 13:21, 13:23, 13:24, 14:6, 16:2, 16:25, 17:5, 17:11, 19:1, 19:11, 19:13, 19:15, 20:21, 21:23, 25:16, 29:15, 29:21, 30:7, 36:15, 37:4, 42:3, 42:9, 42:11 One [3] - 5:10, 8:10, 9:22 ones [2] - 35:13, 35:24 open [1] - 18:14 operate [2] - 27:6, 27:7 operating [1] - 36:23 operations [2] - 12:25, 13:16 operator [1] - 13:23 opportunities [2] - 4:17, 4:21 opportunity [10] - 6:11, 8:23, 11:6, 11:23, 20:15, 27:14, 27:20, 28:9, 34:20, 42:1 options [1] - 21:8 order [1] - 37:6 Organization [7] - \(7: 22,7: 25,8: 5\), 8:8, 8:15, 9:24, 40:20 organization [5] - 4:14, 8:6, 35:25, 37:10, 37:17 Organizations [1] -``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```19:14 Petersburg [1] - 27:8 Phase [3] - 20:23, 21:10, 36:9 phase [1] - 36:16 phased [1] - 20:22 phases [1] - 20:22 phone [2] - 25:6, 25:9 phonetic [1] - 37:1 pick [1] - 16:19 pickups [1] - 39:25 pictures [1] - 34:13 pie [2] - 15:4, 15:8 piece [2] - 12:17, 15:4 place [3] - 9:22, 10:12, 36:13 placed [1] - 21:24 plan [6] - 15:8, 19:7, 31:11, 36:4, 36:7, 36:13 Plan [9] - 2:5, 14:25, 20:16, 21:4, 21:14, 21:23, 21:25, 38:22, 42:14 planner [1] - 37:16 Planning [8] - 7:22, 7:24, 8:5, 8:8, 8:15, 8:20, 9:24, 40:20 planning [2] - 8:21, 37:18 plans [3] - 31:12, 32:18, 39:17 plate [2] - 26:21, 29:12 plead [1] - 16:19 pleasant [1] - 7:9 pleasantly [1] - 25:1 pleased [2] - 7:24, 25:1 Pledge [2] - 2:9, 2:11 pocket [1] - \(33: 4\) point [6] - 6:2, 9:14, 10:9, 30:2, 37:14, 39:8 Point [3] - 16:11, 16:12, 31:23 pointed [1] - 40:23 points [1] - 32:24 police [1] - 6:4 politics [1] - 30:16``` | ```poor [1] - 30:23 population [5] - 13:6, 18:6, 18:15, 18:16, 38:13 Poquoson [3] - 18:22, 19:2, 19:14 Port [1] - 22:12 ports [3] - 13:1, 13:3, 23:10 Portsmouth [5] - 17:22, 18:5, 18:14, 21:11 possibility [1] - 30:9 possible [1] - 24:17 possibly [3] - 19:6, 27:18, 28:6 posts [1] - 13:19 pot [1] - 14:17 potential [1] - 32:18 pots [1] - 14:14 power [1] - 30:4 presentation [1] - 40:11 presented 30:7 presents [1] - 24:11 pressing [1] - 5:20 pressure [1] - 12:21 previously [1] - 21:23 principal [1] - 25:22 principle [1] - 26:1 priorities [1] - 27:24 prioritization - 9:6, 28:2, 28:5, 36:25 prioritize [3] - 9:19, 10:5, 10:8 priority [2] - 20:21, 40:21 private [1] - 21:1 problem [5] - 12:13, 25:20, \(31: 20,32: 23\), 32:24 problems [3] - 17:7, 23:16, 29:10 proceed [1] - 6:17 process [7] - 8:21, 11:4, 12:3, 15:2,``` | ```28:3, 39:18, 40:21 Productivity [1] - 13:9 products [1] - 14:3 profitable [1] - 14:4 program [1] - 20:15 project [42] - 4:24, 5:13, 5:20, \(6: 1,6: 13,6: 15\), 6:19, 6:23, 9:10, 9:12, 11:21, 15:25, 16:5, 19:1, 19:2, 19:6, 19:9, 20:19, 20:21, 20:22, 20:25, 21:2, 21:4, 21:10, 21:12, 21:13, 21:16, 21:17, 22:5, 22:7, 22:17, 25:13, 35:5, 35:7, 35:11, 36:5, \(36: 14,36: 15\), 36:16, 36:18 projections [1] - 40:13 projects [46] - 5:8, 6:21, 8:22, 9:4, 9:6, 9:7, 9:8, 9:15, 9:20, \(10: 4,10: 5,10: 7\), 10:8, 10:10, \(12: 2,12: 5,16: 2\), 19:13, 20:17, 21:19, 21:22, 22:11, 24:21, 25:2, 25:23, 25:25, 26:6, 26:21, 27:3, 28:18, 30:5, \(30: 6,30: 15\), \(31: 10,34: 23\), 35:3, 35:4, 35:23, 36:8, 36:23, 37:2, \(38: 23,40: 12\), 40:24 promise [1] - 30:20 proportions [1] - 25:21 proposed [1] - 20:16 prosper [2] - 15:18, 17:8 prosperity [1] - 12:17 provide [3] - 29:13, 30:4, 31:7``` | ```provided [1] - 42:5 provides [1] - 14:10 providing [3] - 19:19, 27:13, 30:11 prudent [1] - 35:9 prudently [1] - 35:15 public [14] - 2:8, 12:4, 12:6, 24:4, 27:11, 34:22, 35:23, \(38: 7\), 38:19, 39:3, 39:8, 42:11, 42:16, 42:18 Public [2] - 2:20, 4:1 purchase [1] - 25:5 put [8] - 8:11, 19:13, 21:21, 28:4, 28:19, 31:1, 35:13, 40:23 puts [1] - 18:16 putting [2] - 37:16, 39:22 questions [2] - 34:11, 37:19 quick [1] - 41:8 Rail [3] - 2:20, 4:1, 26:24 rail [5] - 18:13, 27:1, 27:7, \(30: 16,40: 2\) railroad [2] - 21:22, 22:1 rains [1] - 23:18 Raleigh [1] - 6:24 Randy [1] - 18:21 range [2] - 36:4, 36:7 ranking [2] - 37:5, 37: 6 rarely [1] - 9:15 rate [1] - 29:5 rather [1] - 31:1 rational [1] - 36:13 re [1] - 19:6 re-scoping [1] - 19:6 read [1] - \(24: 12\) reading [2] - 31:17, 31:25 real [2] - 30:9, 41:17 realize [2] - 5:9, 18:6 really [17] - 10:5,``` | ```11:12, 11:22, 14:21, 15:1, 15:2, 16:20, 17:2, 18:7, 18:17, 25:10, 27:2, 27:18, 31:3, 33:11, 34:25, 40:12 reapplying [1] - 22:8 reason [4] - 2:14, 2:15, 8:14, 19:20 reasonably [1] - 4:21 recapture [1] - 6:7 received [3] - 8:1, 8:7, 25:16 recited [1] - 2:11 recognition [1] - 40:17 recognize [5] - 18:6, 21:8, 39:13, 40:4, 42:9 recognized [1] - 20:20 recognizing [2] - 4:13, 18:13 recommend [1] - 38:22 recommendations [2] - 8:3, 8:7 reconsider [1] - 26:2 record [1] - 5:18 red [2] - 10:20, 10:24 referred [1] - 31:6 reform [1] - 8:9 regarding [2] - 9:15, 20:14 regardless [1] - 33:9 regards [1] - 40:6 region [19] - 9:1, 9:9, 10:6, 10:8, 10:15, 13:19, 14:9, 14:21, 15:17, 17:2, 17:8, 22:14, 23:14, 30:9, 30:10, 37:20, 41:18, 41:23 regional [4] - 26:6, 37:18, 41:16 regionalism [1] - 30:10 regressive [2] - 29:7, 31:7 regrets [1] - 20:5 reiterate [1] -``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | ```Richardson [1] - 22:22 RICHARDSON [2] - 22:23, 23:24 Richmond [4] - 10:19, 26:24, 27:8, 40:3 Richmond-Hampton [1] - 26:24 RICKARDS [1] - 37:25 Rickards [1] - 38:1 ride [1] - 39:4 riders [2] - 38:9, 38:13 Rise [2] - 26:12, 33:14 risk [2] - 12:20, 12:24 Rita [2] - 4:7, 40:14 road [6] - 5:22, 5:24, 9:20, 16:9, 35: 6 Road [4] - 16:12, 19:1, 20:24, 33:19 Roads [46] - 3:6, 5:22, 7:22, 8:15, 9:3, 9:6, 9:9, 9:13, 9:16, 10:11, 10:14, 11:12, 12:1, 12:13, 12:25, 13:2, 13:15, 14:10, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:15, 17:1, 18:1, 18:7, 22:12, 22:14, 23:8, 24:9, 26:8, 26:24, 27:8, 28:15, 30:17, 33:16, 39:3, 39:12, 39:17, 39:20, 40:8, 40:13, 40:19, 41:2, 41:12 roads [7] - 6:9, 6:10, 10:24, \(31: 24,34: 6\), 34:7, \(34: 9\) roadway [1] - 19:16 room [1] - 32:8 round [1] - 22:8 Route [9] - 10:22, 10:23, 22:5, 22:9, 22:13, 23:7, 23:16, 26:15, 26:17 route [5] - 7:2,``` |  | ```serve [1] - 3:19 service [6] - 7:9, 7:10, 27:7, 40:2, 41:15 Service [1] - 26:24 services [1] - 12:23 set [1] - 27:22 setting [3] - 8:9, 27:21, 28:6 seventh [1] - 9:12 several [1] - 31:23 severe [3] - 13:4, 19:14, 19:21 shame [2] - 32:16, 34:7 share [3] - 14:17, 19:8, 39:12 shared [1] - 40:14 Shepard [1] - 24:25 sheriff's [1] - 6:5 Sherry [1] - 20:1 shifting [1] - 15:7 ship [1] - 13:2 shippers [1] - 13:1 shipyard [1] - 13:6 short [2] - 20:18, 24:17 shortest [1] - 34:1 shortfall [1] - 40:11 shot [1] - 40:2 Shoulders [1] - 20:24 show [1] - 40:13 shown [3] - 21:4, 27:11, 41:11 shows [1] - 13:7 shrubbery [1] - 32:12 sic] [1] - 29:3 side [5] - 13:22, 14:6, 25:20, 26:5, 26:21 sides [1] - 12:14 sign [1] - 31:2 signed [2] - 37:23, 37:24 significant [3] - 26:7, 40:10, 40:16 simple [1] - 29:11 simply [1] - 30:2 Simulation [1] - 9:23 sincerely [3] - 17:25, 18:2, 20:16 single [1] - 30:7 sit [4] - 15:24,``` | ```16:25, 27:23, 33:3 site [1] - 16:10 situation [1] - 31:18 Six [9] - 2:4, 14:25, 20:16, 21:4, 21:14, 21:23, 21:25, 38:22, 42:14 six [3] - 9:7, 36:7, 37:7 Six-Year [9] - 2:4, 14:25, 20:16, 21:4, 21:14, 21:23, 21:25, 38:22, 42:14 size [1] - 38:14 slaughtered [1] - 32:12 slice [1] - 15:8 small [1] - 14:17 smoke [1] - 30:9 solution [2] - 5:13, 18:17 solve [1] - 17:6 someone [1] - 40:6 Sometimes [1] - 34:2 somewhere [1] - 36:17 soon [3] - 10:3, 11:2, 31:22 sorry [1] - 24:8 sort [1] - 31:2 source [1] - 35:17 south [1] - 16:14 South [1] - 5:11 Southeastern [1] - 26:18 Southside [2] - 12:15, 26:12 southwest [1] - 42:12 speakers [1] - 28:21 speaking [1] - 22:25 specifically [1] - 28:15 speed [1] - 18:13 spend [3] - 33:3, 35:18, 35:19 spending [1] - 35:9 spent [1] - 29:8 spoken [1] - 38:5 staff [6] - 2:17, 20:13, 24:16, 24:19, 27:4, 41:22``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | ```Tidewater [1] - 18:14 tie [1] - 2:14 tied [1] - 21:11 TIGER [1] - 22:6 tight [2] - 35:8, 37:13 timely [1] - 12:24 today [10] - 3:14, 7:19, 11:7, 11:15, 12:5, 15:10, 17:13, 27:15, 39:18 Today [1] - 6:14 together [4] - 15:17, 36:4, 37:9, 41:12 tolls [4] - 18:8, 33:5, 33:6 tomorrow [2] - 6:1, 42:12 Tonight [1] - 3:11 tonight [7] - 15:1, 20:6, 20:8, 21:21, 31:21, 35:22, 39:22 tonight's [1] - 20:7 took [3] - 5:14, 34:1, 35:12 total [2] - 14:11, 25:19 tourist [1] - 38:15 toward [1] - 25:5 towards [2] - 10:19, 32:13 Town [3] - 22:22, 22:24, 23:6 town [3] - 23:9, 23:11 TPO [6] - 8:11, 12:3, 19:5, 27:4, 38:3, 41:11 TPO's [4] - 8:17, 8:23, 27:19, 27:20 tracks [1] - 10:1 TRADOT [1] - 37:1 traffic [8] - 3:2, 10:21, 16:11, 16:15, 22:1, 23:10, 23:11, 32:25 train [1] - 22:1 Transit [3] - 27:2, 38:2, 38:10 transparency [1] - 18:9 transportation [21] - 9:4, 11:25, 12:13, 12:19,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



